

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,608	04/11/2001	Craig Gardner	1023.1117101	1869	
28075	28075 7590 03/09/2004			EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			WINAKUR, E	WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3736	7	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/832,608	GARDNER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric F Winakur	3736	
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	corresp ndence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-109 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-109</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv	ion No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/832,608

Art Unit: 3736

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1 - 40, 54 - 90, and 103 - 109, drawn to various reference samples,

Page 2

classified in class 600, subclass 310.

II. Claims 41 - 53 and 91 - 102, drawn to an optical system and method,

classified in class 600, subclass 310.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because while the system and method only broadly require a reference sample, the particular details of the reference samples of the subcombination are not recited. The subcombination has separate utility such as a tissue phantom for optical imaging experiments.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: With regard to Group I there are eight different embodiments of the reference samples, as follows: a) as shown in Figures 5 and 6 and having the optical properties shown in Figure 7, b) as shown in Figure 8 and having the

Application/Control Number: 09/832,608

Art Unit: 3736

optical properties shown in Figure 9, c) as shown in Figures 10A and B and having the optical properties shown in Figure 11, d) as shown in Figure 12 and having the optical properties shown in Figure 13, e) as shown in Figure 14 and having the optical properties shown in Figure 15, f) as shown in Figure 16 and having the optical properties shown in Figure 17, g) as shown in Figure 18 and having the optical properties shown in Figure 19, and h) as shown in Figure 20 and having the optical properties shown in Figure 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 09/832,608 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to David Crompton on 5 March 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Beth Jones can be reached on 703/308-3400. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,608

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3736

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

8 March 2004